## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-31 are pending. Claim 10, 11, and 31have been amended.

## **ELECTION / RESTRICTION:**

The Examiner asserts "

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Species disclosed on page 6, lines 18-20, that the invention is an all-in one digital copy machine.
- II. Species disclosed on page 6, lines 21-28 that the invention is a computer system.

The Examiner is requiring the Applicant to elect a single species for prosecution to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is allowable. The Examiner noted that Claims 1-6, 8-9, 12-17, and 20-25 are generic.

The applicant elects species (I).

## CONCLUSION:

The foregoing is believed to be a complete response to the outstanding Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory L Hulan

By \_\_\_\_\_/\_

December 23, 2005

Jack H. McKinney Reg. No. 45,685

> S/N: 10/631,987 Case: 10991815-3 Response to Office Action